

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 6, 2002

LB 1172

will be the Supreme Court. They may interpret it very narrow and they may just go to that specific fee, and then you're going to have people guessing from one year to the next what's permissible. That's what I'm afraid the committee amendment does, is it sets you up on a case by case, fee by fee, lawsuit by lawsuit determination, and I don't think that's ultimately what we want. We came and what we need to accomplish. The amendment I have is the bill I introduced. I understand the Education Committee didn't like that approach, and I...I understand why, to a certain degree, because it makes you make tough decisions. You have to come up with some specific answers but I think that's what you owe those school districts right now. The amendment that I have talks about as long as the fee doesn't exceed the actual cost. Okay, so that, in my amendment concept one is you can't charge a fee more than the actual cost. The school districts can charge for extracurricular activities. What is an extracurricular activity? Well, you define that by what it is not. An extracurricular or an optional activity does not include mandatory activities. You've got to have biology; you can't have a biology fee. Activities or programs that are met for graduation, if you have to take a class or accomplish something that's required for graduation, you shouldn't have to pay a fee to do that. Will that change what some schools are doing? Yes, it will. But I think that's what the constitution requires free instruction to be. You might disagree with me. My amendment is a starting point of where you've got to go, I think, to make some definitional changes. If the activity would provide extra credit, so in other words if you want to go into summer school and graduate early for a little extra credit, you probably can't charge for that either because it still counts towards your graduation, towards your requirements. If there is some other noninstructional activities or programs that you have to do, those are required, school districts ought to pay for that. But every thing else should be open for fees. And so what I do with this amendment, in my terms, is define where the safe havens are at. If it's mandatory, you can't charge. Why would you pass a bill to do that? There is a presumption of constitutionality. Every school can take that, if they're sued, and they will require a supermajority of the Supreme Court to overturn the statute. Does it guarantee that it won't be overturned? No. Does it sure increase your chances of it being